


In the Office Action, the examiner objected to the abstract of the disclosure because line 3 refers to "34, 35" which should be "33, 34". The applicant hereby amends the abstract of the disclosure to correctly identify numerals "33, 34". Accordingly, please delete the abstract of the disclosure and substitute the replacement abstract submitted herewith.

In the Office Action, the examiner rejected claims 1-12. Accordingly, the applicant has cancelled claims 1-7 and claims 10-12.

Claims 8 and 9 have been amended. Claims 8 and 9 were rejected based on 35 USC section 112 as being indefinite, insofar as claim 8 depended on itself, and claim 9 depended on claim 8. Claim 8 has been rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the original claim 1 and the originally submitted claim 8. Similarly, claim 9 has been rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the original claim 1 and the originally submitted claim 9.

Accordingly, the applicant respectfully submits that Claims 8 and 9, as amended, are now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully Submitted,  
Andrew Michael Britton,  
by his attorney:

  
David George Johnson, Reg. No. 31,628  
Post Office Box 286  
Aitkin, Minnesota 56431

Tel: (218) 927-3700  
Fax: (763) 201-7265  
e-mail: [wb4jtt@yahoo.com](mailto:wb4jtt@yahoo.com)